

Introduction

- between meteorological conditions, aerosols, and cloud water.

Dataset

- 17 years (2003-2019) of hourly cloud properties and meteorological data from ERA5 reanalysis, and aerosol properties from CAMS
- Hourly data averaged in the 8°x8° box over the Eastern North Atlantic Ocean
- (35°N~43°N; 32°W~24°W) for single-layer warm (liquid phase) clouds Will incorporate ground-based measurements and retrievals at the DOE/ARM ENA site, and geostationary satellite retrievals



a) Normalized LWP used for the wavelet analysis. b) The wavelet power spectrum of LWP using the Morlet wavelet. The black contour encloses regions of greater than 95% confidence for a red-noise process with a lag-1 coefficient of 0.94. Cross-hatched regions on either end indicate the "cone of influence", where edge effects become important. c) Time-averaged wavelet power spectrum of LWP. The dashed line is the 95% confidence spectrum.



Observational estimate of stratocumulus susceptibility across timescales

Xiaoli Zhou^{1,2}, Graham Feingold^{1,2}, ¹ CIRES; ²NOAA

The effect of anthropogenic atmospheric aerosols on global cloud radiative forcing through changes in cloud amount and brightness is a major uncertainty in climate projection. A robust quantification of cloud susceptibility requires a better understanding of the interactions between fast and slow aerosol-related processes. So far there is no observational estimate of cloud susceptibility across timescales, mainly due to the difficulty of isolating the causal relationship between cloud water path (LWP) and cloud droplet number (N_d) in the context of other natural co-variabilities

Here we perform wavelet analysis to quantify the magnitude and trend of the LWP adjustment to perturbations in aerosol number concentrations across timescales.

Time-averaged wavelet power spectrum of LWP, cloud fraction (CF), aerosol number concentration at 900 hPa $(N_{\rm a})$, surface divergence (Sfc div), incoming solar irradiance (SWi), absolute humidity at 500 hPa (q), estimated inversion strength (EIS), sea surface temperature (SST), U wind at 100 m (Uwind), V wind at 100 m (Vwind). The power is normalized by variance. Dashed lines are the 95% confidence spectrums. Dots indicate powers passing the confidence level.

How often are LWP and N_a coherent and at what scale?

Wavelet coherence between LWP and Na during June, July, and August (JJA) in 2010. The black contour encloses regions of greater than 95% Monte Carlo confidence level.



Red: Frequency of occurrence of significant coherence between LWP and N_a across scales in JJA. Blue: frequency of occurrence of significant coherence between N_a and meteorological conditions across scales during the period when LWP and N_a are significantly coherent.

Compute LWP adjustment across timescales

- At each scale, we identify continuous time periods (>5 hours) when cloud water and aerosols are significantly coherent in the absence of the covariabilities between aerosols and meteorological conditions.
- Within each selected time period, we compute LWP adjustment $(dln(LWP')/dln(N_a'))$, where LWP' and N_a' are fluctuations at the specified scale decomposed using discrete wavelet analysis.

Time dependence of LWP adjustments



Overall strongest negative cloud adjustment occurs at ~ 16 h timescale. Adjustment is faster at night (stronger entrainment), slower in the daytime (weaker entrainment). Nighttime adjustment timescale is much shorter than predicted by nocturnal large eddy simulations.





LWP adjustments in the context of other natural covariabilities (ongoing work)





Strong diurnal cycle of LWP adjustment: positive adjustment in late afternoon and negative adjustment at night Precipitation mediation: relatively strong precipitation at dawn and

midnight increases the LWP adjustment

Contact: xiaoli.zhou@noaa.gov