An Optimal Precursor of Northeast Pacific Marine Heatwaves and Central Pacific El Niño events

Antonietta.Capotondi@noaa.gov and Matthew Newman

University of Colorado/CIRES and NOAA Physical Sciences Laboratory

Tongtong Xu

NOAA Physical Sciences Laboratory

Emanuele Di Lorenzo

Georgia Institute of Technology





	•	-	
Thel	inear	Inverse	Model
	_mcu		WIGGCI

 $dx/dt = Lx + \xi$

IRFS

x state vector including SST and SSH EOFs (data from ECMWF-ORAS4, 1958-2015) **L** Dynamical Operator $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ Stochastic forcing, white in time, but spatially coherent





Figure 3. a-c) MHW amplitude as a function of time for different optimization time intervals. d-f) The evolution of the optimals averaged zonally over the 160°-130°W longitude strip (dashed meridional lines in Fig. 1b) as a function of time (x-axis) and latitude (y-axis). Shading indicates SSTA (C.I. 0.05), while contours indicate SSHA (C.I. 0.015, negative values dashed). Units are arbitrary, but normalization is consistent among panels.

 $\boldsymbol{x}(t+\tau) = \boldsymbol{G}(\tau)\boldsymbol{x}(t) = \exp(\boldsymbol{L}\tau)\boldsymbol{x}(t)$

We use LIM to determine the \mathbf{x} (0) most conducive to a MHW at a later time t.

Composite Evolution of Northeast Pacific MHWs

Figure 1. (a) "NEPac index", computed as the average SSTA in the box displayed in b (middle). Vertical light blue lines mark the times when the SSTAs first exceed the 1 standard deviation threshold, shown by the dotted orange line. (b) Composites of SSTAs for events exceeding 1 standard deviation of the NEPac index for at least 5 months, at lags -12, -6, 0, 6, and 12 months. (c) Same as in b), but for SSH. (d) Composite of SSTAs at lag 0, zeroed south of 25°N. (e)

g-i) The projection coefficients of observed anomalies on the corresponding optimal patterns, versus the observed NEPac index τ -months later. The dashed lines is the linear fit using a least squares approach. Correlations (insets) are significant at the 99% level. Black dots indicate the onset times (y-axis) of the seven identified MHWs vs. the projection coefficients τ months earlier (x-axis).

These optimal precursors can help us anticipate observed events

Which basin-scale dynamics is associated with Northeast Pacific MHWs?

We decompose the initial MHW optimal into the eigenmodes of the LIM dynamical operator *L*, and check

Evolution from MHW Evolution from NP-CP Optimal adjoint

We seek initial conditions that optimally grow into the pattern displayed in (e).

Representation of d) in the reduced SST space (20 EOFs) used to construct the LIM; i.e., the "MHW-norm".

The Marine Heatwave Optimal (Optimization time τ =9 months)

MHW Amplitude: Projection of the anomaly evolution from the Initial Optimal onto the MHWnorm.

Optimization time (months)

The optimal initial condition is associated with a

which eigenmodes are most influential on MHW growth:

 $x_{MHW}(\mathbf{0}) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} \alpha_i u_i$

The key dynamical eigenmode for MHW growth is the "NP-CP" eigenmode (period ~10 years):

The optimal forcing for the eigenvectors of *L* is provided by their "adjoints", i.e., the eigenvectors of L^{\dagger} . The adjoint of the NP-CP eigenmode is very similar to the MHW optimal. Thus, the initial condition leading to optimal development of Northeast Pacific MHW is the same initial condition leading to optimal development of the NP-CP eigenmode.

Conclusions

Figure 2

A large-scale precursors of Northeast Pacific MHWs with anomalies in both the North

Pacific and the Central equatorial Pacific is identified.

The initial condition leading to optimal development of Northeast Pacific MHWs can also

lead to subsequent Central Pacific El Niño events, which can lengthen MHW duration.

Capotondi, A., M. Newman, T. Xu, and E. Di Lorenzo, 2022, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*,

https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL097350