## Evaluating Lower-Atmospheric Thermodynamic Variability over Northern Alaska under Arctic Amplification

Leslie M. Hartten<sup>1, 2</sup>, Christopher J. Cox<sup>2</sup>, and Gijs de Boer<sup>1, 2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences (CIRES), University of Colorado

<sup>2</sup> Physical Sciences Laboratory, NOAA/Earth System Research Laboratory (ESRL)

## Background

Arctic Amplification corresponds spatially to areas with sea ice loss, such as the Beaufort, and presents strongly in autumn as residual heat in the ocean. It is strongest near 75°N and at the surface, and is confined below ~500 hPa, mostly below 850 hPa (Serreze et al. 2009).

In autumn, the amount of sea ice in the Chukchi/Beaufort essentially sets the temperature at Barrow (Wendler et al 2014, Cox et al 2017). Longterm soundings from the NWS at Barrow (Fig. A) show dramatic changes in the fall boundary layer; with substantial suppression and much warming of the lower levels. Figure A. Mean of all 00 and 12 UTC October and November soundings from NWS Barrow for 1973–2000 (blue), 2000–2020 (red). and 2005–2020 (gold). Data obtained from the Integrated Global Radiosonde Archive v2 (IGRA; Durre et al. 2018).

the lower troposphere's structure over northerm Alaska. The foundation of our research is two high-resolution datasets which provide an opportunity to examine multi-year tropospheric variability, and to get a preliminary idea of its regional coherence. Our initial focus on thermodynamic quantities related to cloud formation.



We use data which passed all ARM quality control. Soundings with excessive temperatures or surface pressures, bad heights aloft or near the surface, giant temperature offsets, or odd discontinuities were subsequently omitted from analysis. We also removed post-launch warm temperature spikes (confined to no more than the first 5 heights above the surface value).



Figure B. A MODIS satellite image (NASA, worldview.earthdata.nasa.gov/) showing the locations of ARM's observatories in Alaska (from *Cox et al.* 2017; Fig. 1a)

## **Preliminary Results**

We linearly interpolated idividual soundings to a 50-m vertical grid, then recomputed relative and specific humidities using Hardy's (1998) vapor pressure formulas, which are also employed by Vaisala's sounding software. After computing monthly statistics profiles we used linear interpolation to extract time series on 4 pressure levels: 700, 850, 925, and 1000 hPa.

These time series are shown in **Figs. C**, **D**, **E**, and **F**. Superimposed on each is a non-parametric regression obtained by finding the median of pairwise slopes regression (Lanzante 1996) as implemented by Danziger (2015). The resulting slopes are listed to the right of the figure panels.

At both sites most trends are positive, except for moisture-related minima, whose trends are often negative. For all variables, the trends lead to an increase in the range of values over time at all levels. Trends over the 5-year record at Oliktok are larger than those over the 19-year record at Barrow. Further analysis is required to determine whether this is a data artifact or reflects a change in regional trends over the last several years.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by the U.S.

Department of Energy (DOE) Atmospheric Systems Research (ASR)

program under project DE-SC0013306. Data are from the ARM user

facility, a U.S. DOE Office of Science user facility managed by the

colors from www.ColorBrewer.org by Cynthia A. Brewer, Dept. of

Geography, Penn State.

Biological and Environmental Research Program. Some figures use



Min-Mean-Max. Barrow

Figure C. Monthly mean (solid), maximum and minimum (dotted) temperatures.





Figure D. Monthly mean (solid), maximum and minimum (dotted) dew points.



Min-Mean-Max. Barrow

An an an and the second s

Max = 0.2 Mean = 0.2

Figure E. Monthly mean (solid), maximum and minimum (dotted) RH with respect to water.



Figure F. Monthly mean (solid), maximum and minimum (dotted) RH with respect to ice.

## Some Key References

Atmospheric Radiation Mosaurement (ARM) user facility: 2002. updated hourly: Balloo-chone Sounding System (SONDEWINP), 2020-49-80, 2020-09-01, North Slope Alaska (NSA) Central Facility; Barrow AK (C1). Compiled by D. Holdridge J. Kyrouae. The Keeler. ARM Data Center. Data et accessed 2020-04-01 and 2020-01 and http://dx.doi.org/10.5489/1021460. Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM) user facility. 2015, updated hourly. Balloon-Borne Sounding System (SONDEWNRN). 2015-08-01 to 2020-08-31, ARM Mobile Facility (OL) Olitikok Foint, Alaska: AMF3 (MI). Compiled by D. Holdridge, J. Kyrouac and E. Keeler. ARM Data Center. Data set accessed 2020-04-01 and 2020-09-10 at <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5389/11021460</u> Cox, C. J., and Coauthors, 2017: Drivers and Environmental Responses to the Changing Annual Snow Cycle of Northern Alaska. *Bull. Amer. Metor. Soc.*, **98**, 2599-2577. Danziger, Z. (2015): Theil-Sen Robust Linear Regression. MATLAB Central File Exchange, <u>https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/</u> 48294-theil-sen-robust-linear-regression, retrieved 2 January 2021.

Holdridge, D., 2020: Balloon-Borne Sounding System (SONDE) Instrument Handbook. Ed. by Robert Stafford, U.S. Department of Energy. DOE/SC-ARM/TR-029. http://www.arm.gov/publications/tech\_reports/handbooks/ sonde\_handbook.pdf Lanzante, J.R. (1996), Resistant, robust and non-parametric techniques for the analysis of climate data: Theory and examples, including applications to historical radiosonde station data. Int. J. Climatol., 16: 1197-1226.